

ON THE COIFMAN TYPE INEQUALITY FOR THE OSCILLATION OF ONE-SIDED AVERAGES

MARÍA LORENTE, MARÍA SILVINA RIVEROS, AND ALBERTO DE LA TORRE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the Coifman type estimate for an oscillation operator related to the one-sided discrete square function, S^+ . We prove that for any A_∞^+ weight w , the $L^p(w)$ -norm of this operator, and therefore the $L^p(w)$ -norm of S^+ , is dominated by a constant times the $L^p(w)$ -norm of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function iterated two times. For the k -th commutator with a BMO function we show that $k + 2$ iterates of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function are sufficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [5], Coifman and Fefferman proved that if T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, w is an A_∞ weight and M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, then, for each p , $0 < p < \infty$, there exists C such that

$$\int |Tf|^p w \leq C \int (Mf)^p w,$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite. Inequalities of the type

$$\int |Tf|^p w \leq C \int (M_T f)^p w,$$

where T is an operator and M_T is a maximal operator which, in general, will depend on T , are known as Coifman type inequalities.

Recently, de la Torre and Torrea [26] and Lorente, Riveros and de la Torre [14] have studied inequalities with weights for the one-sided discrete square function defined as follows: for f locally integrable in \mathbb{R} and $s > 0$, let us consider the averages

$$A_s f(x) = \frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} f(y) dy.$$

The one-sided discrete square function of f is given by

$$S^+ f(x) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{2^n} f(x) - A_{2^{n-1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We write S^+ instead of S to emphasize that this is a one-sided operator, i.e., $S^+ f(x) = S^+(f\chi_{(x,\infty)})(x)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. One-sided weights, one-sided discrete square function.

This research has been supported by MEC Grant MTM2005-08350-C03-02, Junta de Andalucía Grant FQM354, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba and CONICET.

In [14] it was shown that if $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty^+$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (S^+ f)^p \omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((M^+)^3 f)^p \omega, \quad f \in L_c^\infty, \quad (1.1)$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite, where $(M^+)^k$ stands for the k -th iteration of M^+ , and

$$M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f|.$$

A natural question left open by this result is the following: can we improve the result using fewer iterates of M^+ in (1.1)? In this note we study a bigger operator for which two iterates are enough. Therefore the inequality (1.1) is improved in two ways: a bigger operator on the left and a smaller operator on the right. The operator that we will study is the oscillation of the averages,

$$\mathcal{O}^+ f(x) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{s \in J_n} |A_{2^n} f(x) - A_s f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $J_n = [2^n, 2^{n+1})$. It is clear that $S^+ f(x) \leq \mathcal{O}^+ f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

If we look at the definition of $\mathcal{O}^+ f(x)$, we see that the sequence $\{\tau_n(x)\}$, defined by $\tau_n(x) = \sup_{s \in J_n} |A_{2^n} f(x) - A_s f(x)|$, measures the oscillation of the $A_s f(x)$ in the interval J_n . Then we take the ℓ^2 norm of this sequence. Operators of this kind are of interest in ergodic theory, [3], [8] and singular integrals. The behavior of \mathcal{O}^+ with respect to the Lebesgue's measure has already been studied. Concretely, in lemma 2.1 in [4] it is proved that \mathcal{O}^+ is of weak type (1,1) and strong type (p, p) , $1 < p < \infty$, with respect to the Lebesgue's measure. It is natural to ask what happens if we change the measure, i.e., which are the good weights for the operator \mathcal{O}^+ ? It is worth mentioning that recently, in [9], it has been proved that the oscillation of the Hilbert Transform is bounded in $L^p(w)$, for $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. In this paper we shall obtain that \mathcal{O}^+ is bounded from $L^p(w)$ to $L^p(w)$, for $w \in A_p^+$, $1 < p < \infty$, as a consequence of the Coifman type inequality stated in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\omega \in A_\infty^+$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (S^+ f)^p \omega \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{O}^+ f)^p \omega \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((M^+)^2 f)^p \omega, \quad f \in L_c^\infty,$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite.

Remark 1.2. As a consequence of the above theorem, if $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p^+$, we obtain that \mathcal{O}^+ and S^+ are bounded in $L^p(\omega)$. For S^+ this was first proved in [26].

Remark 1.3. This theorem improves inequality (1.1) for S^+ substituting $(M^+)^3$ by the smaller operator $(M^+)^2$. It is an open question if (1.1) holds with M^+ instead of $(M^+)^2$, which holds for standard one-sided Calderón-Zygmund operators.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce notation and recall some basic results about one-sided weights and maximal operators associated to Young functions. In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and in section 4 we study the commutators of S^+ and \mathcal{O}^+ with a BMO function b . For $p > 1$ we prove Coifman type inequalities that imply the boundedness of the commutators in $L^p(w)$ whenever $w \in A_p^+$. For $p = 1$ we also obtain a weak type inequality for the k -th order commutator.

2. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC FACTS ABOUT ONE-SIDED OPERATORS

Definition 2.1. *The one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators M^+ and M^- are defined for locally integrable functions f by*

$$M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f| \quad \text{and} \quad M^- f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x |f|.$$

The one-sided weights are defined as follows,

$$\sup_{a<b<c} \frac{1}{(c-a)^p} \int_a^b \omega \left(\int_b^c \omega^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (A_p^+)$$

$$M^- \omega(x) \leq C\omega(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (A_1^+)$$

A_∞^+ is defined as the union of the A_p^+ classes,

$$A_\infty^+ = \cup_{p \geq 1} A_p^+. \quad (A_\infty^+)$$

The A_p^- classes are defined reversing the orientation of \mathbb{R} . It is interesting to note that $A_p = A_p^+ \cap A_p^-$, $A_p \subsetneq A_p^+$ and $A_p \subsetneq A_p^-$. (See [23], [15], [16], [17] for more definitions and results.)

It was proved in [26], that $\omega \in A_p^+$, $1 < p < \infty$, if, and only if, S^+ is bounded from $L^p(\omega)$ to $L^p(\omega)$, and that $\omega \in A_1^+$, if, and only if, S^+ is of weak-type (1,1) with respect to ω .

Definition 2.2. *Let b be a locally integrable function. We say that $b \in BMO$ if*

$$\|b\|_{BMO} = \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |b - b_I| < \infty,$$

where I denotes any bounded interval and $b_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I b$.

Definition 2.3. *Let f be a locally integrable function. The one-sided sharp maximal function is defined by*

$$M^{+,\#}(f)(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \left(f(y) - \frac{1}{h} \int_{x+h}^{x+2h} f \right)^+ dy.$$

For $\delta > 0$ we define

$$M_\delta^{+,\#} f(x) = \left(M^{+,\#} |f|^\delta(x) \right)^{1/\delta}.$$

It is proved in [18] that

$$\begin{aligned} M^{+,\#}(f)(x)\Delta &\leq \sup_{h>0} \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} (f(y) - a)^+ dy + \frac{1}{h} \int_{x+h}^{x+2h} (a - f(y))^+ dy \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we give definitions and results about Young functions. A function $B : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Young function if it is continuous, convex, increasing and satisfies $B(0) = 0$ and $B(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. A Young function B is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition (or $B \in \Delta_2$) if there exists a constant C such that $B(2t) \leq CB(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. The Luxemburg norm of a function f , given by B is

$$\|f\|_B = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int B \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

and so the B-average of f over I is

$$\|f\|_{B,I} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I B \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We will denote by \overline{B} the complementary function associated to B (see [1]). The following version of Hölder's inequality holds,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |fg| \leq 2 \|f\|_{B,I} \|g\|_{\overline{B},I}.$$

This inequality can be extended to three functions (see [19]). If A, B, C are Young functions such that

$$A^{-1}(t)B^{-1}(t) \leq C^{-1}(t),$$

then

$$\|fg\|_{C,I} \leq 2 \|f\|_{A,I} \|g\|_{B,I}. \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.4. For each locally integrable function f , the one-sided maximal operators associated to the Young function B are defined by

$$M_B^+ f(x) = \sup_{x < b} \|f\|_{B,(x,b)} \quad \text{and} \quad M_B^- f(x) = \sup_{a < x} \|f\|_{B,(a,x)}.$$

We will be dealing with the Young functions $B_k(t) = e^{t^{1/k}} - 1$ and $\overline{B}_k(t) = t(1 + \log^+(t))^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The maximal operator associated to \overline{B}_k , $M_{\overline{B}_k}^+$ will be denoted by $M_{L(1+\log^+ L)^k}^+$. It is proved in [22] that $M_{L(1+\log^+ L)^k}^+$ is pointwise equivalent to $(M^+)^{k+1}$.

It is convenient to look at our operators as vector valued. Let us consider the sequence

$$H(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \chi_{(-2^n, 0)}(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \chi_{(-2^{n-1}, 0)}(x) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

and let us define the operator $U : f \rightarrow Uf$ by

$$Uf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x-y)f(y)dy.$$

Then it is clear that $S^+ f(x) = \|Uf(x)\|_{\ell^2}$. If instead of the sequence H we consider for each $s > 0$ the sequence $K(x) = \{K_{n,s}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where

$$K_{n,s}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \chi_{(-2^n, 0)}(x) - \frac{1}{s} \chi_{(-s, 0)}(x) \right) \chi_{J_n}(s),$$

we can define the operator V acting on locally integrable functions f , as $Vf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x-y)f(y)dy$.

If for functions $h : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define the norm

$$\|h\|_E = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{s \in J_n} |h(s, n)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

then $\mathcal{O}^+ f(z) = \|Vf(z)\|_E$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The key point in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following pointwise estimate: for each $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists C so that for any locally integrable function,

$$M_\delta^{+,\#}(\mathcal{O}^+ f)(x) \leq CM^+ f(x) + CM_{L(1+\log^+ L)}^+ f(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Let us prove inequality (3.1). For $0 < \delta < 1$ we have

$$M_\delta^{+,\#}(\mathcal{O}^+ f)(x) \leq C \sup_{h>0} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} \left| |\mathcal{O}^+ f(y)|^\delta - |c|^\delta \right| dy \right)^{1/\delta}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$. Let us consider the unique $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^i \leq h < 2^{i+1}$ and let denote by J the interval $J = [x, x + 2^{i+3}]$. If we write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_J$, and choose $c = \mathcal{O}^+(f_2)(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} \left| |\mathcal{O}^+ f(y)|^\delta - |\mathcal{O}^+ f_2(x)|^\delta \right| dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+2}} \left| \mathcal{O}^+ f(y) - \mathcal{O}^+ f_2(x) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+2}} \left| \mathcal{O}^+ f_1(y) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} + C \left(\frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+2}} \left| \mathcal{O}^+ f_2(y) - \mathcal{O}^+ f_2(x) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\ & = I + II. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 (1) in [4], that is, the fact that \mathcal{O}^+ is weak type (1,1) with respect to the Lebesgue's measure, and Kolmogorov's inequality, we get

$$I \leq C \frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+3}} |f(y)| dy \leq CM^+ f(x). \quad (3.4)$$

In order to estimate II, we first use Jensen's inequality and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II & = C \left(\frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+2}} \left| \|Vf_2(y)\|_E - \|Vf_2(x)\|_E \right|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta} \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+2}} \|Vf_2(y) - Vf_2(x)\|_E dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Let us estimate $\|Vf_2(y) - Vf_2(x)\|_E$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Vf_2(y) - Vf_2(x)\|_E \\
&= \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_y^{y+2^n} f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_y^{y+s} f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_x^{x+2^n} f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right\|_E \\
&\leq \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_y^{y+2^n} f_2 - \frac{1}{2^n} \int_x^{x+2^n} f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right\|_E \\
&\quad + \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_y^{y+s} f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right\|_E \\
&= III + IV. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that since $y \in (x, x+2^{i+2})$ and f_2 has support in $(x+2^{i+3}, \infty)$, it follows that, if $n \leq i+2$, then $x+2^n \leq x+2^{i+2}$ and $y+2^n \leq x+2^{i+2}+2^n \leq x+2^{i+2}+2^{i+2} = x+2^{i+3}$. As a consequence the only non-zero terms in *III* are those with $n > i+2$. Therefore

$$III \leq \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{y+2^n} f \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us consider the Young function $B_1(t) = e^t - 1$. Then $\overline{B_1}(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ and $B_1^{-1}(t) = \log^+(1+t)$. Using the generalized Hölder's inequality, we obtain that, for $n \geq i+3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{y+2^n} f \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{x+2^{n+1}} |f| \chi_{[x+2^n, y+2^n]} \\
&\leq CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x) \|\chi_{[x+2^n, y+2^n]}\|_{B_1, [x+2^n, x+2^{n+1}]} \\
&= CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_1^{-1}\left(\frac{2^n}{y-x}\right)} \leq CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_1^{-1}(2^{n-i-2})}, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $y-x \leq 2^{i+2}$ and that B_1^{-1} is nondecreasing.

Putting together (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
III &\leq CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x) \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(B_1^{-1}(2^{n-i-2}))^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x) \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-i-2)^2} \right)^{1/2} = CM_{\overline{B_1}}^+ f(x). \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate *IV*. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\beta_n = \sup_{s \in J_n} \left| \frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_y^{y+s} f_2 \right|.$$

Then, if $\beta_n \neq 0$, we have that there exists $s_n \in J_n$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{s_n} \int_x^{x+s_n} f_2 - \frac{1}{s_n} \int_y^{y+s_n} f_2 \right| > \frac{1}{2} \beta_n.$$

If $n \leq i+1$ then $y + s_n \leq y + 2^{n+1} \leq x + 2^{i+2} + 2^{n+1} \leq x + 2^{i+3}$. Therefore in IV we may assume $n \geq i+2$.

Using again generalized Hölder's inequality, we get that, for $n \geq i+2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq C \frac{1}{s_n} \int_{x+s_n}^{y+s_n} |f_2| \leq C \frac{1}{s_n} \int_x^{x+2^{n+2}} |f| \chi_{[x+s_n, y+s_n]} \\ &\leq C \frac{2^{n+2}}{s_n} M_{B_1}^+ f(x) \|\chi_{[x+s_n, y+s_n]}\|_{B_1(x, x+2^{n+2})} \\ &= C M_{B_1}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_1^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{n+2}}{y-x} \right)} \leq C M_{B_1}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_1^{-1}(2^{n-i})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Then,

$$IV \leq C M_{B_1}^+ f(x) \left(\sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(B_1^{-1}(2^{n-i}))^2} \right)^{1/2} = C M_{B_1}^+ f(x). \quad (3.11)$$

Collecting inequalities (3.2)–(3.6), (3.9) and (3.11), we obtain (3.1). On the other hand, we have that $M_{B_1}^+ f = M_{L(1+\log^+ L)}^+ f$ is pointwise equivalent to $(M^+)^2 f$ (see [22]). As a consequence, (3.1) gives

$$M_{\delta}^{+,\#}(\mathcal{O}^+ f)(x) \leq C(M^+)^2 f(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we only have to observe that since $w \in A_{\infty}^+$, there exists $r > 1$, such that $w \in A_r^+$. Then, for δ small enough, we get that $r < p/\delta$ and thus, $w \in A_{p/\delta}^+$. Therefore, by theorem 4 in [18], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{O}^+ f|^p \omega &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (M_{\delta}^+ (\mathcal{O}^+ f))^p \omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (M^+ (\mathcal{O}^+ f)^{\delta})^{p/\delta} \omega \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (M_{\delta}^{+,\#} (\mathcal{O}^+ f))^p \omega \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((M^+)^2 f)^p \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

whenever the left hand side is finite. \square

4. COMMUTATORS

The commutators of singular integrals with BMO functions have been extensively studied (see [2],[6],[24],[25],[20],[21],[10],[11],[12],[13]). Since S^+ can be considered as a singular integral whose kernel satisfies a weaker condition (see [14]), it is interesting to know if the results about commutators of singular integrals can be extended to S^+ . In [14] we have proved that the classical results about boundedness with weights can be extended to S^+ and, furthermore, can be improved allowing a wider class of weights, since S^+ is a one-sided operator. The results in [20] and [21] have been improved in [11] for one-sided singular integrals. Observe that for standard Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals (satisfying the usual Lipschitz condition) one obtains Mf instead of $M^2 f$ in Theorem 1.1. Therefore we can not expect to obtain the same results for the commutator of S^+ as we obtained in [11] for one-sided singular integrals. However, we

can give estimates of the same kind, increasing in one the iterations of M^+ . Concretely, for the k -th order commutator of S^+ and \mathcal{O}^+ we have:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $b \in BMO$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let us define the k -th order commutator of S^+ and \mathcal{O}^+ by*

$$S_b^{+,k} f(x) = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(x) - b(y))^k H(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_{\ell^2},$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(x) = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(x) - b(y))^k K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E.$$

(Observe that for $k = 0$ we obtain S^+ and \mathcal{O}^+ .) Then, for $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty^+$, there exists $C > 0$ such that,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (S_b^{+,k} f)^p w \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f)^p w \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((M^+)^{k+2} f)^p w, \quad f \in L_c^\infty,$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite.

Remark 4.2. In [10], the $L^{\mathcal{A},k}$ -Hörmander condition was introduced. If we just use that H , the vector valued kernel of S^+ , satisfies the $L^{\mathcal{A},k}$ -Hörmander condition for $\mathcal{A}(t) = e^{\frac{1}{1+k+\epsilon}}$, then theorem 3.3 in [10] gives $(M^+)^{k+3}$ instead of $(M^+)^{k+2}$ in the previous inequality for $S_b^{+,k}$.

Remark 4.3. In particular, we have that for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^+$, $\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k}$ and $S_b^{+,k}$ are bounded in $L^p(w)$, which was proved for $S_b^{+,k}$ using a different approach in [12].

In [26] it was proved that S^+ is of weak type (1,1) with respect to w , iff $w \in A_1^+$. The commutator is more singular than the operator. A fact that is not apparent in the $L^p(w)$ norm but it makes a difference near $L^1(w)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $b \in BMO$, $w \in A_\infty^+$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : S_b^{+,k} f(x) > \lambda\}) &\leq w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(x) > \lambda\}) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \log^+ \left(1 + \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{k+1} M^- w(x) dx, \quad f \in L_c^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

whenever the left-hand side is finite.

Remark 4.5. If $w \in A_1^+$, we can put w instead of $M^- w$ in the right hand side.

The following lemma will allow us to use induction in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$, $b \in BMO$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any locally integrable f ,*

$$\begin{aligned} M_\delta^{+,\#} \left(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f \right) (x) &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} M_\gamma^+ (\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f)(x) + C M_{L(1+\log^+ L)^{1+k}}^+ f(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} M_\gamma^+ (\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f)(x) + C (M^+)^{k+2} f(x) \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The case $k = 0$ follows from inequality (3.1) in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us prove the case $k \geq 1$. Let λ be an arbitrary constant. Then, $b(x) - b(y) = (b(x) - \lambda) - (b(y) - \lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(x) &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(x) - b(y))^k K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E \\
&= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k C_{j,k} (b(x) - \lambda)^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(y) - \lambda)^{k-j} K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(y) - \lambda)^k K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E \\
&+ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k C_{j,k} (b(x) - \lambda)^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(y) - \lambda)^{k-j} K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E \\
&= \mathcal{O}^+((b - \lambda)^k f)(x) \\
&+ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{k-j} C_{j,k,s} (b(x) - \lambda)^{s+j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (b(x) - b(y))^{k-j-s} K(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_E \\
&\leq \mathcal{O}^+((b - \lambda)^k f)(x) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} C_{k,m} |b(x) - \lambda|^{k-m} \mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f(x), \quad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{j,k}$ (respectively $C_{j,k,s}$) are absolute constants depending only on j and k (respectively j , k and s). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $2^i \leq h < 2^{i+1}$ and set $J = [x, x + 2^{i+3}]$. Then, write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \chi_J$ and set $\lambda = b_J$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(y))^\delta - |a|^\delta| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(y)) - a|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\
&\leq C \left[\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |b(y) - b_J|^{(k-m)\delta} (\mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f(y))^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |\mathcal{O}^+((b - b_J)^k f_1)(y)|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |\mathcal{O}^+((b - b_J)^k f_2)(y) - a|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right] \\
&= (I) + (II) + (III). \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate (I). Since $0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$, we can choose q such that $1 < q < \frac{\gamma}{\delta}$. Then, using Hölder's and John-Nirenberg's inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) &\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |b(y) - b_J|^{(k-m)\delta q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta q'}} \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |\mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f(y)|^{\delta q} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta q}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+8h} |b(y) - b_J|^{(k-m)\delta q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta q'(k-m)}} \right]^{k-m} \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2h} |\mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f(y)|^{\delta q} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta q}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} M_{\delta q}^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f)(x) \leq C \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} M_{\gamma}^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,m} f)(x). \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Kolmogorov's inequality plus the fact that \mathcal{O}^+ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure imply

$$(II) \leq C \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+2^{i+3}} |b(y) - b_J|^k |f(y)| dy.$$

Using now the generalized Hölder's inequality with $B_{k+1}(t) = e^{t^{1/(k+1)}} - 1$ and $\overline{B_{k+1}}(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)^{k+1}$ we get,

$$(II) \leq C \| |b - b_J|^k \|_{B_{k+1}, J} \| f \|_{\overline{B_{k+1}, J}}.$$

It follows from John-Nirenberg's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
(II) &\leq C \| |b - b_J|^{k+1} \|_{B_{k+1}, J} \| f \|_{\overline{B_{k+1}, J}} \leq C \| |b|^{k+1} \|_{BMO} M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \\
&\leq C (M^+)^{k+2} f(x). \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

For (III) we take $a = \mathcal{O}^+((b - b_J)^k f_2)(x)$. Then, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(III) &\leq C \frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+3}} |\mathcal{O}^+((b - b_J)^k f_2)(y) - \mathcal{O}^+((b - b_J)^k f_2)(x)| dy \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{2^i} \int_x^{x+2^{i+3}} \| V((b - b_J)^k f_2)(y) - V((b - b_J)^k f_2)(x) \|_E dy. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 3$, let $I_j = [x + 2^j, x + 2^{j+1})$ and $\tilde{I}_j = [x, x + 2^{j+1})$. As in inequality (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| V((b - b_J)^k f_2)(y) - V((b - b_J)^k f_2)(x) \|_E \\
&\leq \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \int_y^{y+2^n} (b - b_J)^k f_2 - \frac{1}{2^n} \int_x^{x+2^n} (b - b_J)^k f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right\|_E \\
&\quad + \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} (b - b_J)^k f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_y^{y+s} (b - b_J)^k f_2 \right) \chi_{J_n}(s) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{R}} \right\|_E \\
&= (III)_n + (III)_s. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

For (III_n) , we proceed as in the estimate of (III) in Theorem 1.1. Since $y \in (x, x + 2^{i+2})$ and f_2 has support in $(x + 2^{i+3}, \infty)$, it follows that, if $n \leq i + 2$, then $x + 2^n \leq x + 2^{i+2}$ and $y + 2^n \leq x + 2^{i+2} + 2^n \leq x + 2^{i+2} + 2^{i+2} = x + 2^{i+3}$. As a consequence, we only have to take into account $n > i + 2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(III_n) &= \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{y+2^n} f(b-b_J)^k \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{y+2^n} f(b-b_{I_n})^k \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + C \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{x+2^n}^{y+2^n} f(b_{I_n}-b_J)^k \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= C \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} |(IV_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} + C \left(\sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} |(V_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the generalized Hölder's inequality (2.1) with $A = B_1$, $B = \overline{B_{k+1}}$ and $C = \overline{B_k}$, followed by John-Nirenberg's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(IV_n) &\leq C \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^n} \int_{I_n} |b(t) - b_{I_n}|^k |f(t)| \chi_{(x+2^n, y+2^n)}(t) dt \\
&\leq C \| (b - b_{I_n})^k \|_{B_k, \tilde{I}_n} \| f \chi_{(x+2^n, y+2^n)} \|_{\overline{B_k}, \tilde{I}_n} \\
&\leq C \| b \|_{BMO}^k \| f \|_{\overline{B_{k+1}}, \tilde{I}_n} \| \chi_{(x+2^n, y+2^n)} \|_{B_1, \tilde{I}_n} \\
&\leq C M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_1^{-1} (2^{n-i-2})}. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For (V_n) again the generalized Hölder's inequality is used to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(V_n) &\leq C (n - i - 1)^k \| f \|_{\overline{B_{k+1}}, \tilde{I}_n} \| \chi_{(x+2^n, y+2^n)} \|_{B_{k+1}, \tilde{I}_n} \\
&\leq C (n - i - 1)^k M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \frac{1}{B_{k+1}^{-1} (2^{n-i-2})}. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together inequalities (4.8) and (4.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(III_n) &\leq C M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \left(\sum_{n \geq i+3} \frac{1}{(B_1^{-1} (2^{n-i-2}))^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + C M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \left(\sum_{n \geq i+3} (n - i - 1)^{2k} \frac{1}{(B_{k+1}^{-1} (2^{n-i-2}))^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C M_{\overline{B_{k+1}}}^+ f(x) \leq C (M^+)^{k+2} f(x). \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate (III_s) . As in Theorem 1.1, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\beta_n = \sup_{s \in J_n} \left| \frac{1}{s} \int_x^{x+s} (b-b_J)^k f_2 - \frac{1}{s} \int_y^{y+s} (b-b_J)^k f_2 \right|.$$

Then, if $\beta_n \neq 0$ there exists $s_n \in J_n$, such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{s_n} \int_x^{x+s_n} (b-b_J)^k f_2 - \frac{1}{s_n} \int_y^{y+s_n} (b-b_J)^k f_2 \right| > \frac{1}{2} \beta_n.$$

If $n \leq i+1$ then $y+s_n \leq y+2^{n+1} \leq x+2^{i+2}+2^{n+1} \leq x+2^{i+3}$. Therefore we only have to consider $n \geq i+2$ in the estimate of III_s . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq C \frac{1}{s_n} \int_{x+s_n}^{y+s_n} |(b-b_J)^k f_2| \\ &\leq C \frac{2^{n+2}}{s_n} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \int_x^{x+2^{n+2}} |(b(t)-b_J)^k f(t) \chi_{[x+s_n, y+s_n]}(t)| dt \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \int_{\tilde{I}_{n+1}} |(b(t)-b_{I_{n+1}})^k f(t) \chi_{[x+s_n, y+s_n]}(t)| dt \\ &\quad + C \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \int_{\tilde{I}_{n+1}} |(b_{I_{n+1}}-b_J)^k f(t) \chi_{[x+s_n, y+s_n]}(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the generalized Hölder's inequality (2.1) with the Young functions used in (4.8) and (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq C \|(b-b_{I_{n+1}})^k\|_{B_k, \tilde{I}_{n+1}} \|f\|_{\overline{B_{k+1}}, \tilde{I}_{n+1}} \|\chi_{(x+s_n, y+s_n)}\|_{B_1, \tilde{I}_{n+1}} \\ &\quad + C(n-i)^k \|f\|_{\overline{B_{k+1}}, \tilde{I}_{n+1}} \|\chi_{(x+s_n, y+s_n)}\|_{B_{k+1}, \tilde{I}_{n+1}} \\ &\leq CM_{B_{k+1}}^+ f(x) \left(\frac{1}{B_1^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{n+2}}{y-x} \right)} + (n-i)^k \frac{1}{B_{k+1}^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{n+2}}{y-x} \right)} \right) \\ &\leq CM_{B_{k+1}}^+ f(x) \left(\frac{1}{B_1^{-1} (2^{n-i})} + \frac{(n-i)^k}{B_{k+1}^{-1} (2^{n-i})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (III_s) &\leq CM_{B_{k+1}}^+ f(x) \left[\left(\sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{B_1^{-1} (2^{n-i})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(n-i)^k}{B_{k+1}^{-1} (2^{n-i})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\leq CM_{B_{k+1}}^+ f(x) \leq C(M^+)^{k+2} f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Collecting now inequalities (4.2)–(4.6), (4.10) and (4.11) we finish the proof of Lemma 4.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let us observe that from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_E$, it follows that $S_b^{+,k} f \leq \mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f$, therefore the first inequality in Theorem 4.1 holds trivially. For the second one, we will proceed by induction on k . The case $k=0$ is Theorem 1.1. Let now $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that Theorem 4.1 holds for $j=1, \dots, k-1$. In order to prove the case $j=k$ we proceed as in (3.12): since $w \in A_\infty^+$, there exists $r > 1$, such that $w \in A_r^+$. Then, for δ and γ small enough, $0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$, we get that $r < p/\gamma < p/\delta$

and thus, $w \in A_{p/\gamma}^+ \subset A_{p/\delta}^+$. Then by theorem 4 in [18] and Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f\|_{L^p(w)} &\leq \|M_\delta^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|M_\delta^{+,\#}(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f)\|_{L^p(w)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|M_\gamma^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f)\|_{L^p(w)} + C \|(M^+)^{k+2} f\|_{L^p(w)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since $w \in A_{p/\gamma}^+$ we obtain

$$\|M_\gamma^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f)\|_{L^p(w)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (M^+(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f)^\gamma)^{p/\gamma} w \leq C \|\mathcal{O}_b^{+,j} f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

Then, by recurrence, we can continue the chain of inequalities in (4.12) by

$$\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|(M^+)^{j+2} f\|_{L^p(w)} + C \|(M^+)^{k+2} f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|(M^+)^{k+2} f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.4. To prove this theorem we shall use the following results:

(i) For any weight w , we have that

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : (M^+)^{k+2} f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \log^+ \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right)^{k+1} M^- w.$$

(ii) Let $1 < p_0 < \infty$ and \mathcal{F} be a family of couples of non-negative functions such that, for $w \in A_\infty^+$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^{p_0} w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)^{p_0} w(x) dx, \quad (4.13)$$

for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\phi \in \Delta_2$ and such that there exist some exponents $0 < r_0 < s_0 < \infty$, such that $\phi(t^{r_0})^{s_0}$ is quasi convex. Then, for all $w \in A_\infty^+$,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \phi(\lambda) w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \sup_{\lambda > 0} \phi(\lambda) w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) > \lambda\})$$

for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$, such that the left hand side is finite.

Result (i) is a direct consequence of theorem 3 in [22], since the pair $(w, M^- w) \in A_1^+$.

The proof of (ii) follows exactly as in theorem 3.1 in [7], then we omit it.

The Coifman type estimate in Theorem 4.1 gives inequality (4.13) for the family of functions $(\mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f, (M^+)^{k+2} f)$ ($k \geq 0$). Also observe that $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{B_{k+1}(1/t)}$, where $\overline{B_{k+1}}(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)^{k+1}$, belongs to Δ_2 and $\phi(t^r)$, is quasi convex for $r > 1$ large enough.

In order to prove Theorem 4.4, it suffices to consider $\lambda = 1$ (the general case follows by applying the result to the function f/λ). We may also assume $\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} = 1$. Then

by (ii) and (i),

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(x) > 1\}) &\leq \sup_{t>0} \phi(t) w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{O}_b^{+,k} f(x) > t\}) \\
&\leq C \sup_{t>0} \phi(t) w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : (M^+)^{k+2} f(x) > t\}) \\
&\leq C \sup_{t>0} \phi(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{B_{k+1}} \left(\frac{|f|}{t} \right) M^- w \\
&\leq C \sup_{t>0} \phi(t) \overline{B_{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{B_{k+1}} (|f|) M^- w \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{B_{k+1}} (|f|) M^- w.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement. We want to thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, New York (1998).
- [2] S. Bloom, ‘A commutator theorem and weighted BMO’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **292** (1985), 103–122.
- [3] J. Bourgain ‘On the maximal ergodic theorems for certain subsets of the integers’, *Israel J. Math.* **61** (1) (1988), 39–84.
- [4] J.T. Campbell, R.L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, ‘Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform’, *Duke Math. J.* **105** (1) (2000), 59–83.
- [5] R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, ‘Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals’, *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 241–250.
- [6] R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, G. Weiss ‘Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables’ *Ann. of Math.* **103** (2) (1976), 611–635.
- [7] G. Curbera, J. García-Cuerva, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, ‘Extrapolation with weights, rearrangement invariant function spaces, modular inequalities and applications to singular integrals’, *Adv. Math.* **203** (1), (2006), 256–318.
- [8] R.L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J.M. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl ‘Oscillation in ergodic theory’, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **18** (1998), 889–935.
- [9] T.A. Gillespie and J.L. Torrea ‘Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms’, *Israel J. Math.* **141** (2004), 125–144.
- [10] M. Lorente, J.M. Martell, M.S. Riveros and A. de la Torre ‘Generalized Hörmander’s conditions, commutators and weights’, preprint.
- [11] M. Lorente and M.S. Riveros, ‘Weighted inequalities for commutators of one-sided singular integrals’, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **43** (1) (2002), 83–101.
- [12] M. Lorente and M.S. Riveros, ‘Weights for commutators of the one-sided discrete square function, the Weyl fractional integral and other one-sided operators’, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A.* **135** (4), (2005), 845–862.
- [13] M. Lorente and M.S. Riveros, ‘Two weighted inequalities for commutators of one-sided singular integrals and the one-sided discrete square function’ *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **79** (2005) 77–94.
- [14] M. Lorente, M.S. Riveros and A. de la Torre, ‘Weighted estimates for singular integral operators satisfying Hörmander’s conditions of Young type’, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **11** (5), (2005) 497–509.
- [15] F.J. Martín-Reyes, ‘New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 691–698.
- [16] F.J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega and A. de la Torre, ‘Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **319** (2) (1990), 517–534.

- [17] F.J. Martín-Reyes, L. Pick and A. de la Torre, ' A_{∞}^+ condition', *Canad. J. Math.* **45** (1993), 1231–1244.
- [18] F.J. Martín-Reyes and A. de la Torre, '*One sided BMO spaces*', *J. London Math. Soc.* **49** (2) (1994), 529–542.
- [19] R. O'Neil, '*Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces*', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115**, (1963) 300-328.
- [20] C. Pérez, '*Sharp estimates for commutators of singular integrals via iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*', *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3** (1997), 743–756.
- [21] C. Pérez, '*Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators*', *J. Funct. Anal.* **128** (1995), 163–185.
- [22] M. S. Riveros, L. de Rosa, and A. de la Torre, '*Sufficient Conditions for one-sided Operators*', *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **6** (2000), 607–621.
- [23] E.T. Sawyer, '*Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions*', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **297** (1986), 53–61.
- [24] C. Segovia and J.L. Torrea, '*Vector-valued commutators and applications*', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (4) (1989), 959–971.
- [25] C. Segovia and J.L. Torrea, '*Higher order commutators for vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund operators*', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336** (1993), 537–556.
- [26] A. de la Torre and J.L. Torrea, '*One-sided discrete square function*', *Studia Math.* **156**(3) (2003), 243–260.

M. LORENTE, ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

E-mail address: lorente@anamat.cie.uma.es

M.S. RIVEROS, FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, CIEM (CONICET), 5000 CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA

E-mail address: sriveros@mate.uncor.edu

A. DE LA TORRE, ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN

E-mail address: torre@anamat.cie.uma.es